

भारत सरकार

खान मंत्रालय

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक कार्यालय

दूसरी मंजील, जीएसआई फील्ड प्रशिक्षण केन्द्र, महालेखाकार आफिस कोम्प्लेक्स, पोस्ट -विधान सभा- रायपुर- (छ.ग)-492005

फोन- 0771-2282530 / 2285590 / 95 [मेल- ro.raipur.ibm@gmail.com](mailto:ro.raipur.ibm@gmail.com)

दुर्ग@पि@[क; 1096@2017&jk; ij

दिनांक - 17/5/2017

सं. 1096/2017-18  
श्री लालमती सिंह,  
W/o Shri Rajendra Singh,  
EWS - 93, Vaishali Nagar, Bhilai,  
District - Durg, - 492001  
Chhattisgarh

विषय: [क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2016-17 के लिए  
[क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए  
[क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए  
[क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए

वकील [क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए  
[क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए  
[क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए  
[क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए

वकील [क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए  
[क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए  
[क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए  
[क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए

वकील [क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए  
[क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए  
[क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए  
[क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए

Hkonh; ]

1096/2017-18

1096/2017-18  
[क; 1096/2017-18]  
[क; 1096/2017-18]

1096/2017-18

1- [क; 1096/2017-18] के अंतर्गत 2017-18 के लिए

2- Dr Manoj Kumar, H No - 150, Ward No 13, Nandini Nagar, District Durg.

[क; 1096/2017-18]  
[क; 1096/2017-18]

दुर्ग@पि@[क; 1096@2017&jk; ij

**Table Scrutiny comments on the Modified Mining Plan for Sahgaon Limestone Mine over an extent of 4.82 Hectors in Sahgaon Village, Dham, Tehsil, Durg District of Smt. Lalmati Singh.**

1. At a glance information before introduction at start of document in following format may be given

01	MINING PLAN/REVIEW OF MINING PLAN AT GLANCE			
1	Name of the Applicant /lessee			
2	IBM Registration no			
3	Address of Applicant			
4	Name of Mine			
5	Minecode			
6	Lease area in hect.	Forest -	Non forest -	Total =
7	Forest Diverted area			
8	Name of Mineral			
9	Lease period from to			
10	Mineral Reserve (111& 112)			
11	Mineral Resources			
12	Production proposal (2017-18)			
13	Production proposal (2018-19)			
14	Production proposal (2019-20)			
15	Production proposal (2020-21)			
16	Production proposal (2021-22)			
17	Existing/valid EC permission in tonnes			
18	Plantation proposal per years in numbers			
19	Plantation proposal per years in area			
20	Back filling proposal in ha			
21	Bank Guarantee Amount			
22	Validity of BG			
23	Prohibitory order from any authority			
24	Any other important information			

2. In the review Para the proposal and achievement to be furnished yearwise as mentioned in earlier approved document. The exploration proposal and achievement year to be specifically mentioned and same for topsoil and allied activities.

3 Para 3.11 co-ordinates of all boundary pillars of lease area may be given. Same may also be authenticated by state govt. (DGM) for its correctness as per grant lease area map.

4. In Para 5.22 the status of violations pointed out by IBM to be furnished.

5. Employment Potential: As per Rule 42 of MCDR, 1988 Mining Engineer & Geologist to be proposed.

6. The actual production in last five years given 18820 MT but proposal is given more than 50000 MT/year . The reason for enhancement of production and change of method of mining (category) proposed to be discussed elaborately taking into consideration systematic mining, mineral conservation and protection of mine environment.

7. Yearwise production proposal: In the given para following deficiencies

- i) Tentative mineral @ t/cum in tons. The unit should be specific.
- ii) 5 % subgrade/Mineral reject, it is not clear it is subgrade of mineral reject and if it is subgrade the quality of subgrade and cut off grade should mentioned.
- iii) It is mentioned that waste 5% of ROM but the yearwise generation is nil. it to be corrected

**8.0 Geological Plan:** In the given plate the surface exposure of limestone to be shown as per actual ground condition. In geological plan the lithology should be shown and same should be indexed properly. In geological plan G1, G2, G3 and G4 scale exploration area should be marked properly as per UNFC and Minerals (Evidence and Mineral contents) Rules, 2015. In the document feasibility report is enclosed but still feasibility axis shown F2(121). The correction to be done accordingly.

9. Reclamation Plan: reclamation Proposal of next five year to be covered only and not upto life of mines . in reclamation plan conceptual stage pit profile has been given which ought to have been given in conceptual plan. The proposal of garland drain is given outside lease area. The proposal should be revised.

10. Conceptual plan- Conceptual stage pits profile , Ultimate pit slope and bottom RL are not mentioned and depicted in plan and section.

11 Financial assurance plan:- Area considered for calculating of financial assurance in respect of excavation and overburden dump and other items have not been shown with different color as per area put to use for calculation of financial assurance table. All other feature needs to be removed from plates.

12 The certificate is enclosed from RQP as there is no provision of RQP in Rules therefore the certificate should be given from qualified person. The correction to be done.